



D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z




Dunlap & W. W. Laramore
Mr M Dedman & Noyes, Frank

D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z



G
H
I
J
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z



Dr J. J. Knowlton Vs
A A Krohn
Charles Lee Vs Jesse Burley page 85

J. W. Linville & J. W. Co. Vs

Otto Ingelbreton Page 14
Along Wright 84

Mald Banderford Page 90

J

K

L

M

N

O

P

Q

R

S

T

U


V

W

X

Y

Z



M
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

People Vs Jack Beasore Pages

Pacific Hardware & Steel Co	vs J.W. Mello	page 87.
-----	RE Laws	88
" " " " "	W Whitfield	86

Grist Peterson	vs Miguel Lostro & J. Lostro	4	P
Ramondo Ferris	vs Miguel Lostro & J. Lostro	Page 1	Q
People of the state of Cal.	vs Peter Westfall	page 9	R
" " " " " "	Antone and Indian	Page 10	S
" " " " " "	John Westfall Indian	" 11	T
" " " " " "	George Washington Indian	" 12	U
" " " " " "	Sam Waterford	" 13	V
" " " " " "	John Lawrence	" 15	W
" " " " " "	Louisa Indian woman	" 16	X
			Y
			Z

[Faint, illegible handwriting visible through the paper, likely from the reverse side. The text appears to be organized in several lines, possibly a list or a series of entries.]

Spaulding vs WW Thomas 83

S

T

U


V

W

X

Y

Z



In the Justice's Court of

Shenandoah

County of Shenandoah


State of Virginia

Plaintiff

vs

Defendant

V
W
X
Y
Z



No. 1
In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Remondo Ferris
Plaintiff
vs.
Miguel Lostra and
J. Lostra
Defendants

Action Civil
Demand \$250.00
W. H. Lareau
Attorney for Plaintiff
R. E. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant's

1895
DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

January 28 Complaint filed and summons issued, and placed in the hands of Constable R. Laramore, Summons returned by R. Laramore, served on the defendant Miguel Lostra, and placed on file,
April 21 Now comes the plaintiff and defendants by their attorneys and by agreement in writing ask that this case be set down for trial on May Eighth and now at this time it is ordered by the Court that this case be and the same is set for trial on May 8th 1895 at 10 O'clock of that day
May 8 10 O'clock a.m. Court called attorneys not present and now at this time it is ordered that this case be and the same is hereby continued till Six O'clock P of this day
6 O'clock P.M. Court called Plaintiff & defendant in court Represented by W. H. Lareau for the Plaintiff and R. E. Rhodes for the defendant the defendant moved to Stop Proceedings and not to go to trial at this time and certify the pleading to the Superior Court on the grounds that the trial of the case will involve the title to Real Estate motion denied Remondo Ferris sworn for the Plaintiff Roseare Garcia Jarome Martin, H. M. Durmanay & Christ Peterson witnesses for Plaintiff J. Lostra Mr Fowler was sworn on the part of deft the case was then argued by counsel and submitted to the Court the case was taken under advisement till at 7 O'clock a.m. at which time Court was called all parties in Court and now being the set for rendering judgement & justice of the Peace at this time do make Order a judge and decree that the plaintiff have judgement for the full sum of Fifty dollars and the costs of suit done in open Court this 9th day of May 1895 J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace
9 Execution issued and placed in the hands of R. Laramore
15 Execution returned satisfied in full J. M. Johnson J. P.

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Beasore

Defendant

Action

Criminal

Demand \$

✓✓

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. E. Laramore

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb. 28 Complaint filed and warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Robert Laramore

March 7 Prisoner Brought into Court Complaint was read to Defendant and he was informed of his rights Defendant Plead not guilty and chose W. E. Laramore as his attorney and asked for a trial by a jury.

Subpoenas was issued for prosecution and defence, and Venue for jury and placed in the hands of Constable Taylor and the trial of the case was set for March 18 1895 at One P. M.

March 18 This case coming on regularly for trial Court was called at One P. M. the jury of seven was selected The following named witness was called for the prosecution,
Chas. Rohn. Indian Sam.
Indian Antonio on the part of the Defence. W. L. McPherson Jack Beasore
The jury was then charged by the Court and they then retired for deliberation. After being out a short time the jury returned a verdict of not guilty, done in open court this 18 day of March 1895

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

Justice fees \$4.00
Bill Sent to the Supervisors

In the Justice's Court of Fresno Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Anton Mallo

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- May 1 Complaint on the affidavit of W. M. Laramore and
Warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable
Robert Laramore
- 2 Warrant returned and defendant brought in to court
he was informed of his rights the Complaint was read to
him and he pled not guilty and the defendant demanded
a trial by jury the case was set down for trial on the
Sixth day of May 1895 at ten O'clock, A. M. of that day
Subpoenas for witnesses and a venire for jury was issued and placed
hands of Constable Robert Laramore
- 4th Subpoena and venire returned by Constable R. Laramore
- 6th This case coming on regularly for trial the jury was
selected prosecution and defendant being in court
said they were ready to proceed with the trial
the jury was sworn to try the case. Frank Melbo
John Krohn, Jerry Jones, George Phoner were sworn and
examined for witness for the prosecution after which
the case was argued by W. M. Laramore for the
prosecution, and Antone Cadose for Defendant
at the close of the argument the jury was charged
by the Court and the case was given to them
the jury retired for deliberation after being out a
short time they returned the following verdict
Fresno Flat, May 6th 1895 We the jury summoned to
try the Case of the People of California: Verser Antone Mallo
find the Defendant not guilty R. D. Pear:-
Where-upon the jury was discharged and now at this
time in pursuance of said verdict I J. M. Johnson
a judge the defendant not guilty and ordered
him discharged Justice fee three dollars \$3.00
J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace 4th Township
Madera Co Cal

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Action

Christ Peterson

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 60.00J. Lostro + Miguel Lostro

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

May 8 Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore
 9 Summons returned by Constable Laramore and placed on file the Plaintiff Paid \$2.00 cost
 20 the time having expired for the defendant to answer and where as the defendants have not answered or demurred to the complaint filed here in default as here by Entered

June 9 Now comes the Plaintiff by his attorney W. M. Laramore and asks that the above case be dismissed with Prejudice to an other suit and now at this time it is ordered by the court that this case be and the same is here by dismissed with Prejudice to an other suit done in open court

J. M. Johnson
 Justice of the Peace 4th Canon Ship Madera Co Cal

No. 5

In the Justice's Court of Fourth
County of Madera

Township,
State of California. ✓

The People of the State of California

Action

Charge Arson

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

William Speckerman

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

May 15

On the affidavit of John A. Keltie a Complaint
Was filed and a Warrant of arrest issued and
placed in the hands of Constable W. B. Taylor
Warrant returned and defendant brought in to
court the Complaint was read to him and he
was informed of his rights and pled not guilty
to the charge the Examination of the case was set
for the 20th day of May and Subpoenas was issued
On October 20th, a.m. court called defendant in court
This case coming on regularly for Examination John
A. Keltie Nelson Woodbury Thomas Speckerman
and W. J. Speckerman were sworn and examined as
Witnesses for the prosecution and was also examined
by the defendant the defendant then made his statement
and as there was no attorneys the case was sub-
mitted to the court without argument and
J. G. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace at this time
fully understanding all the law and the evidence
in the premises do Order a Judge and decree that
The defendant be held to answer to the charge
of arson and that he be Admitted to bail in the
Sum of one hundred dollars a Commitment
Was made out to the Sheriff of Madera County and
placed in the hands of Constable Saramore and
the prisoner was placed in charge of the said Constable
Done in open court Justice fees three dollars \$3.00

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace 4th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

H. F. Blanchard

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 150.00J. Lostro

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

May 24 Complaint Filed and Summons issued and placed
 on the hands of Constable Laramore Summons Returned
 Served on the defendant and placed on file

June 1 The time allowed by law for the defendant to answer
 in the above case and where the said defendant has
 not answered or demurred default is hereby Entered

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Action

C. A. Dresser

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 75⁰⁰/₁₀₀James Faust

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895-

PROCEEDINGS.

June 12 Complaint Filed and Summons issued and
Placed in the hands of S. D. Smith

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Samuel P. Wensley

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$40, ⁰⁰/₁₀₀The Southern Pacific Rail Road
a Company a Corporation DefendantLoren + Loren
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 5 Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent
to Loren + Loren attorneys for plaintiff

Aug 30 Now comes the defendants and paid the above demand
and costs and now at the request of plaintiff
the above case is here by dismissed
without suit

J M Johnson J. P.

No. 9

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Peter Westfall

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 8 On the affidavit of Louisa an Indian Women a Warrant was issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore

July 9 Warrant returned and the defendant brought in to Court the complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights and pled not guilty and the trial of his case was set down for the 26th day of July

July 26 This case coming on regularly for trial, defendant in court and demanded a trial by jury, the venire for jury was issued, placed in the hands of Constable Laramore, Court took a recess till 2 P.M. When the venire was returned and the jury of six men was sworn and examined, they were then sworn to try the case, witnesses sworn for the part of prosecution, Louisa, and Victoria Indian women. On part of defense Peter Westfall & Harley Rohr. Jury charged by the court and the case submitted. After the jury was out ten minutes, they returned a verdict of guilty as charged in the complaint. In pursuance of said verdict the court adjudged him guilty. The defendant waived trial and was sentenced to pay a fine of ten dollars. The fine was paid by the defendant and he was discharged. Justice cost \$3.00 Constable \$5.00 done in open court July 26th 1895

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the peace.

In the Justice's Court of *Tourth*
County of *Madera*

Township,

State of California.

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Antone an Indian

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

✓

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9

On the affidavit of *Louisa an Indian woman* a Complaint was filed and a Warrant of arrest was issued and placed in the hands of Constables. Warrant returned and the defendant brought in to court the Complaint was read to him and he was informed of his right and pled not guilty and his case was set for trial on the 26th.

July 26

This case coming on regularly for trial defendant in court wanted a trial without jury. *Indian Louisa* and *Indian Victoria* were examined as witnesses on part of the prosecution. *Charley Rhone* and *Peter Wetfall* on part of defense. This case was then adjourned till the 9th of Aug. 1895.

Aug 9

Court called defendant in court. *Louisa* was called and sworn and examined as a witness for the prosecution. The prosecution rested. Kate and Antone was sworn and examined as witnesses for the defense. The case was submitted to the court after hearing the evidence and understanding all the law in the premises. I J. M. Johnson Justice of the peace do at this time judge the defendant guilty as charged in the Complaint. The defendant waived time and asked to be sentenced where upon he was fined by the court the sum of ten dollars where upon the defendant paid the said fine and was discharged. Justice fees \$3.00 Constables fees \$6.00 Deputies fees Four dollars 4.00 Done in open Court this 9th day of Aug. 1895.

J. M. Johnson, J. P.
Fourth Louisa Ship County of Madera Calif.

No. 11

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of California

Action ☒

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

John Welfall

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9 ON the affidavit of Louisa a Complaint was filed and a Warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Saramore

July 26. Warrant returned by Constable Saramore, the complaint was read to the defendant and he was informed of his rights, he pled not guilty and his case was set for trial Aug 9th 1895

Aug 9 Court called defendant in Court and said he was Ready for trial Louisa Victoria & Tresa was sworn and Examined as Witnesses for the prosecution Kate Antonio and Charley Rhone was sworn and Examined as Witnesses for the defence the case was closed and submitted to the Court and J. J. P. after hearing the Evidence and understanding all the law in the case do say the defendant guilty as charged in the Complaint the defendant Waived trial and asked for Sentences Where up on I did Order say and decree that the said defendant pay a fine of ten Dollars the fine was paid and the defendant discharged

Judges fees three dollars \$3.00
Constables fees \$1.30
Interferers fees four dollars \$4.00
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township County of Madera California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth
County of Madera

Township,

State of California.

The People of California

Action

✓

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

George Washington, Indian
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 9 On the affidavit of Louisa an Indian Woman a
complaint was filed and a warrant issued and
placed in the hands of Constable Laramie

No. 13

In the Justice's Court of Forest Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>The People of California</u>		Action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Plaintiff		
vs.		Demand \$
<u>Sam Matterford</u>		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 15 On the affidavit of Frank Quarril a Complaint was
filed charging the defendant with the crime of
Battery the defendant appeared and pled guilty to the
charge where upon the judge the defendant
guilty as charged in the Complaint and for
the said offense it was agreed ordered and decreed
by the Court that the defendant pay a fine of Six
Dollars the fine was paid by the defendant and
was discharged justice per three dollars \$ 3.00
J M Johnson
Justice of the Peace of 4th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Dr. J. J. Knowlton

Plaintiff

vs.

Atto Ingelhelon

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 110 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 18 Complaint Filed

No. 15-

In the Justice's Court of Laurel Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the state of cal.

Plaintiff

vs.

John Laramore

Defendant

Action Criminal

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July

26

On the affidavit of Robert Laramore, complaint was filed and warrant of arrest issued, and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore.

July

26

Warrant returned by Constable Laramore, defendant brought into court. Complaint being read to him, and he was informed of his rights and pled guilty as charged in the complaint. He waived trial and asked to be sentenced. He was adjudged guilty by the court and sentenced to pay a fine of \$5.00. Fine being paid by the defendant and he was discharged. Justice's fees \$2.00 Constable's fees \$1.60

J. M. Johnson.

Justice of the Peace.

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the state of Cal

Plaintiff

vs.

Louisa, indian woman

Defendant

Action

Battery

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

July 26

On the affidavit of Robert Laramore complaint was filed and warrant issued for arrest and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore.

July 26

Warrant returned by Constable Laramore and prisoner brought into court, complaint read to her and she was informed of her rights and pled guilty to the charge of Battery. She waived trial and asked to be sentenced, whereupon the court adjudged her guilty and sentenced her to pay a fine of \$5.00. She was discharged.

Justice's fees \$3.00 Constable's \$1.60

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

No. 17

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Charley Perasado
Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 10 On the affidavit of a Wright a Complaint was filed
and a Warrant issued for the arrest of the defendant
and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore
11 Warrant returned by Constable Laramore and
the defendant brought in to Court the Complaint
was read to him and he was informed of his rights
and pled not guilty a Wright was sworn and
examined as a witness for the prosecution after
hearing the evidence and the Jury being under Stud
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace did agree the
defendant not guilty and ordered him discharged
Justice fees three dollars \$3.00
Constables fees six dollars \$6.40
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace 4th Township

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of Calif

Action

Criminal

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Chas Jones

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct. 5 On the affidavit of B. J. Lewis a
complaint was filed and warrant
was issued and placed in the hands
of Constable Laramore.

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Maricopa State of California.

Action ☒

The People of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Robert Hunt

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 7 On the affidavit of James Ragon a Complaint was filed and a warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore Warrant returned by Constable Laramore and defendant brought into court the Complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights and he was asked by the court whether he pled guilty or not guilty whereupon he pled guilty as charged in the Complaint he waived time and asked for sentence whereupon the court find him guilty \$5.00 Dollars he gave Dr J J Knowlton as Shurety and was discharged

14 Now comes the defendant and paid the above fine and his Bondman is here by released

J M Johnson Justice of the Peace

No. 90

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of California

Action

Criminal

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Chris Marks

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

- October 9 On the affidavits of Thomas Winkler a complaint was filed and warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore
- 10 Warrant returned by Constable Laramore and Defendant brought in to court the complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights and pled not guilty to the complaint and his bonds was set by the court at two hundred dollars the bond for his appearance was given with J. L. Seaton and Albert Fissett as sureties
- 19 The case is at this time set for trial on the 25 of Oct at 10.00
- 25 Prosecuting witness and defendant in court Thomas Winkler & E. Will Cox was sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution James Arnold and Charles Arnold was sworn and examined as witnesses for the defence Miss Kate Finley was appointed and sworn in as Court Reporter Robert Laramore was sworn and examined on what the Dist Attorney moved that the defendant be discharged motion granted by the court and it is now ordered by the court that the defendant be and he is hereby discharged justice fees three dollars \$3.00
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace 4th Township

Oct 31 Sent to the Superior

No. 21

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of
California

Plaintiff

vs.

Thos. Hinkelman

Defendant

Action

Criminal

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1895 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov. 13

On the affidavit of Geo Teofard Complaint
was ^{warrant issued} filed and placed in the hands of
Constable Robert Laramore.

Warrant returned and prisoner brought
into court, the Complaint was read to him
and he was informed of his rights and
pled not guilty.

14

Now counsel the Defendant and moves
for a change venue and filed an affidavit
that he did not believe he could have a
fair and impartial trial before the Justice
before whom the action was brought by
reason of the bias and prejudice of the
said Justice also by reason of the bias
and prejudice of the people of the Fourth
Township.

Motion was granted by the Court
And now at this time if Justice of
the Peace hereby order that above case
be and hereby is transferred to Justice
Mc Donalds Court of Third Township.
Madera County California

J. M. Johnson J. P. Madera Co. Cal.

In the Justice's Court of Madera 4th Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Abram Speckerman

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1895

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 27 On the affidavit of Robert Laramore a Complaint
Was filed and a Warrant of arrest issued and
Placed in the hands of Constable Laramore Warrant
Returned and the Prisoner brought in to Court
the Complaint Was read to him and he Was informed
of his rights and pled Not guilty the Court then
Proceeded to Examine the charge Robert Laramore and
Albert Cummings Were Sworn and Examined as
Witnesses for the Prosecution the defendant did not
offer any Evidence the Case Was Closed and the
Defendant Was held to answer before the Superior
Court to the charge of Murder a Commitment
Was made out and he Was committed to the Constable
to be by him delivered to the Sheriff of Madera Co
One in Open Court justice fees three dollars & 300
J M Johnson justice of the Peace
4th Township County of Madera Cal

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of Tamworth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

of J Knowlton

Plaintiff

vs.

Rock Greeley

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Feb 17 Complaint Filed

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of Cal
Plaintiff
vs.

Action

Demand \$

Frank Vignola
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

March 4 On the affidavit of Albert Gummori a
complaint was filed and Warrant issued and
placed in the hands of Constable Laramore the
Warrant Returned and the defendant in court
the complaint was read to him and he pled
Guilty the sentence of fine was postponed till
ten o'clock on March 5th ten o'clock a.m. the
defendant appeared for sentence and in presence
of the said plea of Guilty the court agreed
and decreed that the defendant pay a fine of
Four dollars and fifty cents \$4.⁵⁰/₁₀₀ the fine was
Paid justice cost \$3.⁰⁰/₁₀₀ constables cost \$1.³⁰/₁₀₀
J W Johnson Justice of the peace
Fourth Township County of Madera Cal

No. 23

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

Action

Criminal

Demand \$

The People of the
State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

F. H. Blanchard

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1896
Mar 6 On the affidavit of W^m Hall a complaint was filed and warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Lajamore, the warrant returned and the defendant in Court the complaint was read to him and he pled not guilty. the trial of the case was set for 3rd day of March at 10 o'clock of that day, the prisoner was allowed to go on his own recognizance until the day of the trial.

April 25. 96

Now comes the District Attorney and moves to dismiss the above entitled action and gives as his reasons that he has consulted with the Prosecuting Witness and does not believe that the evidence is sufficient to sustain the Prosecution.

And now at this time I, Justice of Peace do hereby order that the above entitled case be and the same is hereby dismissed. Justice fees three dollars \$3.00
J M Johnson J.P. Madera Township

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Action Criminal

vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

H. F. Blanchard

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1896 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Mar 17 On the affidavit of W^m Hall a
complaint was filed and warrant issued
and placed in the hands of Constable
Garamore. The warrant returned and
the prisoner in court the complaint
was read to him and he pled not guilty.
The trial of the case was set for the
3rd day of March at 10 o'clock of that
day. The prisoner gave bonds in the sum
of One hundred Dollars for his appearance.

April 25.

Now comes the District Attorney and
moves to dismiss the above-entitled action
and gives as his reason that he has
consulted with the Prosecuting Witness
and does not believe that the evidence is
sufficient to sustain the Prosecution.

And now at this time, I, Justice of
Peace, do hereby order that the above
entitled action be, & the same is hereby
dismissed.

Justice fees three dollars \$3.00

J. M. Johnson

Justice of Peace

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Action

Criminal ✓

Demand \$

Wm Hall

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Mar 17

On the affidavit of F. H. Blanchard a complaint was filed and warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore.

The warrant returned and the prisoner in court, the complaint was read to him and he plead not guilty.

The trial of the case was set for the 31 day of March, at 10 o'clock of that day.

The prisoner gave bonds in the sum of Three Hundred dollars for his appearance in court, the

April 25

Now comes the District Attorney and moves to dismiss the above-entitled action and gives as his reason that he has consulted with the Prosecuting Witness and does not believe that the evidence is sufficient to sustain the Prosecution.

And now at this time, I, Justice of Peace, do hereby order that the above-entitled action be, & the same is hereby dismissed.

Justice fees three dollars \$ 3.00
J. M. Johnson

Justice of Peace.

No. 28

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action

CivilJ. P. Beasore

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

John H. Elam
and
Thomas Jones

Defendants

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1896

Apr 6
May 22

Complaint filed

1897 now comes the plaintiff and asks
that the above case be dismissed and now
at this time it is ordered by the court that
the same be and is here by dismissed
J. M. Johnson
J. P.

No. 27

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of California

vs.

Plaintiff

Richard Magoon

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

April 13

On the affidavit of C S Payne a complaint was filed and a warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore. Warrant returned and defendant in court the complaint was read to him and he said his true name was Richard H Magoon and that he was guilty as charged to S Payne and W H Crooks were sworn and examined as witnesses the defendant was asked if he had anything to say and he replied he had nothing to say where up on it was ordered by the court that he be held to answer to the Superior court with out bonds a commitment was made out and the defendant was placed in the hands of Sheriff S W Westfall justice fees three dollars \$3.00
J M Johnson justice of the peace

Bill sent to Supervisors, Apr. 28 -

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Civil

W. E. Laramore
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Hammond
Defendant

Demand \$ 10.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

1896
Apr. 24 Complaint filed and summons issued. affagavit and undertaking on attachment filed.

Writ of attachment issued and with the summons was placed in the hands of Constable Laramore.

Apr. 25 Summons returned, served by Constable Laramore on the defendant.

May 1 The time having expired for the defendant to answer and where as he has failed to answer default is hereby entered and now at this time judgement is hereby rendered Order and decreed in favor of the Plaintiff W E Laramore and against the defendant Frank Hammond for the sum of ten dollars and costs of suit taxed at six dollars + forty five cent total amount of judgement \$16 ⁴⁵/₁₀₀

J M Johnson Justice of the Peace

Jul 21 Now comes the defendant and paid the above judgement in full and now at this time Satisfaction is Entered
J M Johnson Justice of the Peace

No. 31

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Allen Tilly

Plaintiff

vs.

J M Hensley

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 50⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

May 20 Complaint Filed and Summons issued and placed
in the hands of Constable Laramore

In the Justice's Court of *Fourth* Township,
County of *Madera* State of California.

W B Thurman & admors
of the Estate of W B Thurman
Plaintiff

vs.

John Christana

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8 Complaint filed

No. 33

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of San Diego State of California.

W. B. Thurman administrator
of the Estate of W. H. Thurman
Plaintiff

vs.

A. J. Wood

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8 Complaint filed

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

W. B. Thurman administrator
of the Estate of W. H. Thurman
vs. Plaintiff

Action

Demand \$

W. I. Smith

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8 Complaint filed

No. 35

In the Justice's Court of Forests Township,
County of Madera State of California.

W. B. Thurman, administrator
of the Estate of W. H. Thurman
Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Poole
Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 8 Complaint filed

In the Justice's Court of *Fourth*

Township,

County of *Madera*

State of California.

A. J. Cardaza

Plaintiff

vs.

John Dupelle

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ *75.00*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

- June 13 Complaint filed undertaken on attachment *Filed* and Summons + Writ of attachment issued and placed in the hands of Constable Laramore
- 20 Summons ^{and writ of attachment} returned and placed on file and the case was set for trial on June 29th at ten o'clock of that day
- 29 Now comes the defendant and filed an affidavit as required by law and asked for a change of venue the case was postponed to give the defendant time to go home and return and pay costs
- July 3 Now comes the defendant and paid the costs eight dollars and moved to have the venue changed to Raymond the Plaintiff objected to changing to Raymond and the Court of his own Motion Ordered that the case be and the same is hereby changed and sent to Justice Mc Donald Court in the town of Madera third township County of Madera

J M Johnson J P

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of Forest Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff
vs.
James Wilson
Defendant

Action
Demand \$ ✓
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1896

PROCEEDINGS.

June 22 Complaint filed on the affidavit of Charles F. Messina
Warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable
Laramore
23 Warrant returned and the defendant in court the defendant
Was Examined and committed to the Superior Court of
Madera County Was turned over to Constable Laramore to be
placed in the hands of the Sheriff of said Madera County Cal
J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace
Fines Cost three dollars \$ 3.00
Dec 29 Bill rendered to Supervisor

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

William Harbor

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Miles Wallace

Attorney for Plaintiff

J. B. Meloche as Administrator of
The Estate of Samuel M. Beggs
Deceased

Defendant

F. A. Fee

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

July 24 Complaint Filed and Summons issued and Sent to Miles Wallace to be served on the defendant

Aug 3 Now comes the defendant and filed demurror to plaintiffs Complaint and affidavit objecting to the jurisdiction of the court

Now comes the defendant and files answer to complaint

17 hearing of demurror set for the 22d day of Aug at 10 o'clock of that day and notice sent to F. A. Fee the attorney for deft

24 The demurror is held by other ruled and the answer and affidavit filed and notice sent the attorney

1897 Jan 22 Now comes the Attorneys in the above entitled action and by stipulation filed in Court it is agreed by the parties that the Plaintiff shall have Judgement against the Defendant for the sum of \$80⁰⁰ Eighty Dollars principal amount, and \$20⁰⁰ Twenty Dollars costs of suit. and the Court is requested to render said Judgement.

And now at this time it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed, that under and by virtue of said agreement, and stipulation of Attorneys, Judgement is hereby made and rendered in favor of W^m Harbor Plaintiff and against J. B. Meloche, Administrator of the estate of S. M. Beggs, for the full sum of \$80⁰⁰ Eighty Dollars principal sum, and \$20⁰⁰ costs of suit.

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace 4th Township Madera Co Cal

No. 37

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Maradera State of California.

Johnathan Roa

Plaintiff

vs.

John Kates

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 34 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

July 29 Complaint Filed Summons issued and Bond
on attachment Filed and Writ of attachment issued and
With the Summons placed in the hands of Constable
Larimore to be served on the defendant

Aug 20 Summons returned served on the defendant and Filed

Sept 17 Now comes the defendant and paid the full demand
together with costs of suit and asks that the suit be
dismissed and now at this time I J M Johnson
Justice of the Peace hereby Order that this case be and the
same is hereby dismissed satisfied in full

Constables Cost Seven \$ 7.00
Justice Cost two dollars \$ 2.00
J M Johnson Justice of the
Peace of 4th Township

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

State of California.

Frank Cramer

Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Faucett

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 86.27

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 10 Complaint filed, affidavit and undertaking on attachment filed, summons issued, writ of attachment issued and with the summons placed in the hands of Constable Laramore.

Sept 30 the Summons in the above case served by constable Laramore returned and placed on file

OCT 6 The time having elapsed for the defendant to appear and answer or demur and where as the said defendant has not appeared default is hereby entered by the court and now at this time it is ordered by the court that judgement be made and judgement is hereby rendered ordered and decreed in favor of the plaintiff and against the above named defendant J. M. Faucett for the sum of Eighty Six & 27/100 and the costs of suit is hereby taxed against the said defendant and made a part of this judgement and it is further ordered that the plaintiff shall have and receive the full amount of the above judgement out of any property of the defendant not exempt from execution, J. P. Cost paid \$ 5.67/100

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace of Fourth Township County of Madera California

No. 41

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Chas. A. Lee
vs.
S. M. Bennett
Plaintiff
Defendant

Action
Demand \$ 63.05
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1896 PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 5 Complaint Filed affidavit and undertaking on attachment
Filed Summons and writ of attachment issued
and with the Summons placed in the hands of
constable Lawrence, Oct 13th Summons Returned
Oct 17 Comes from the defendant by his attorney and
answers plaintiffs Complaint and demands a jury
trial and demands that the plaintiff and defendant
be required to deposit the fees of the jury before trial the
answer placed on file and the defendant notified

In the Justice's Court of

Fourth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

Action civil

A. J. Johnson

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 81.64
x

D. C. Ingram

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.


- Oct 7 Complaint filed affidavit and undertaking on attachment filed Summons issued writ of Attachment issued and with the Summons placed in the hands of Constable Robert Laramore
- Oct 23 The Summons returned served on the defendant in Fresno County Summons filed in court
- Nov 15 The time having expired for the defendant to answer and appear as he has not answered nor demurred to the Complaint filed here in; default is here by entered
- 30 Comes now the plaintiff and moves for a change of Venue and asks that this case be sent to Justice Mc Donalds Court in Madera Madera County Cal the change of Venue is asked for the reason that the plaintiff is a Brother of the Justice about to try the case the motion granted by the Court and now at this time J. M. Johnson Justice of the peace hereby order that the above case be and the same is hereby transferred to Justice Mc Donalds Court of third Township County of Madera State of California Costs all paid up to date Justice's costs two dollars and a half.
Constable's costs seven dollars and forty cents
Keeper's fees Twenty dollars
J. M. Johnson J. P.

No. 43

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action



vs.

Plaintiff

Demand \$

James Wilson

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

OCT 16 On the affidavit of Char. F. Meserve and J. M. Herran
a Warrant was issued and placed in the hands of
Constable Laramore Warrant returned and defendant
brought in to Court the Complaint was read to him
and he was informed of his rights and he there upon
Pled Not guilty and the Examination was set down

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of San Diego

State of California.

Action

S D Smith

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$15.00

Joseph Burke

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

OCT

30

Complaint filed Money deposited in Stid of Bond
on attachment Summons issued Writ of attachment
issued placed in the hands of constable Laramore
Writ of attachment served on John McHume as
the debtor of the defendant Statement was made
showing that there was \$30.00 of defendant's money
in the hands of the said John McHume attachment
Returned and filed in court

Feb

8

Man comes the defendant and paid the
full amount and costs of suit and now
at this time it is ordered by the court
that this case be and the same is hereby
Dismissed

J M Johnson

Justice of the peace

No. 45

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

S W Westfall

Plaintiff

vs.

Vignolo and Long

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 85 ⁸⁸/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 31 Complainant filed Summons and attachment issued and placed in the hands of Constable Taylor Summons and attachment returned Service on the defendants and filed in court Comes now the defendants and paid the above demand and the plaintiff moved the court that the case be dismissed motion granted and it is now ordered that this case be and the same is hereby Dismissed Settled in full

Wm Johnson
Justice of the peace 4th Township Madera

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Charles Johnson

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 16 On the affidavit of Manuel Rob an Indian
Woman a Warrant was issued and placed in
the hands of Constable W.B. Taylor

Feb 3 Warrant returned and Bins brought in to court
The complaint was read to him and he was
informed of his rights and pled Not guilty
Subpoena was issued and placed in the hands
of Constable Taylor and the case was set
for trial on the eighth of Feb at 11 o'clock
a.m. of that day a jury was demanded. By Dept
8 Eleven o'clock a.m. court called defendant his
counsel M.E. Laramore appear as attorney for the
defendant a jury of twelve men was summoned
and sworn to try the case three witnesses
was examined for the prosecution the defendant
waived the right to testify and the jury was
charged by the court and after the constable was
sworn the jury was turned over to him to be
shut in a room the jury retired and after
being out a short time returned a verdict
of not guilty the verdict was filed the juror
discharged the jury was discharged juror costs

three dollars

\$ 3 00

J.M. Johnson Justice of the peace

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of _____ Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action _____

✓

The People of the State of Cal

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ _____

Jack Kehol

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 20 On the affidavit of A J Johnson a warrant-
Was issued and placed in the hands of Constable
W. B. Taylor
23 Warrant returned and defendant brought
in to court he was questioned and it appearing
to the satisfaction of the court that the defendant
was insane and that he should be committed
to the Superior Court for examination it was ordered
by the court to be and he was by the said court
committed for examination to the Superior Court
of Madera County California just fees \$ 3.00
J M Johnson
justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State
of California

Plaintiff

vs.
Chas Austin
Elmer Patterson

Defendant

Action

Criminal

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Apr. 5

On the affidavit of W. E. Laramore, complaint was filed and warrant issued for the arrest of the above named defendants in placed in the hands of Constable Cyler.

Apr. 6

Warrant returned and prisoners brought into Court. Complaint was read to them and they were informed of their rights and pled not guilty to the charge.

The District Attorney was notified and at his request the examination of the case was set for Apr. 16th at 3 P.M. of that day. subpoenas was issued for witnesses and placed in the hands of Constable Cyler.

Apr. 16

3 P.M. Court was called. Defendants and District Attorney in Court. Defendants moved for a postponement of the case on the ground that they had no attorney present there being no objection on the part of the District attorney the motion was granted it was moved by the District Attorney that the order of the Court admitting the defendants to bail in the sum of \$600⁰⁰ be rescinded and that the bail be fixed at the sum of One Thousand Dollars Each. motion granted by the Court, and it was ordered by the Court that the Examination be and the same is hereby set for the 21st of April at 2 o'clock P.M. Witnesses that were present ordered to be here on the 21st of April at 2 P.M.

April 21

Now comes the District attorney and moves the Court to dismiss the case against the defendants. Motion granted. & case dismissed by Court.

of the Peace

Justice of the Peace Jus \$3.00
J M Johnson Justice

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of California

Action Criminal Felony by
cattle stealing ✓

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.
Chas. P. Dustie
Elmer E. Patterson

Defendant

Wiles Wallace
Attorney for Plaintiff
R. Rhodes
Attorney for Defendant

1897 DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

April 21

On the affidavit of W.E. Gacamore the complaint was filed. The defendants in quest without warrant. The complaint was read to them and they were informed of their rights. Said they were willing to proceed with examination of charges alleged in the complaint.

Attorney for Plaintiff & defendants said they were ready to proceed.

Miss Kate Finney was sworn in as reporter. The following named witnesses were called, sworn and examined on the part of prosecution.

W.E. Gacamore - Joseph Wagoner, Joe Ravis, Jr. Joe Ravis Sr. but was withdrawn by district attorney R. J. Taylor. Thos. Alviss, F.S. Oyster.

The prosecution rested their case.

R. Rhodes for defendant moved to dismiss the case on the ground that the evidence was insufficient to hold them to answer to superior court.

The motion was argued by the attorneys & submitted to the court.

Motion was over ruled by the court & the defendants held to answer to the superior court with bail fixed at one thousand dollars each & they were committed to the sheriff of Madera County until legally discharged.

Justice of Peace for \$5.00
J. M. Johnson Justice of
the Peace Fourth Township County of Madera
California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of Cal

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

H Chabaya

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

May 7 On the affidavit of Mrs Rosetta Bass a
Complaint Was filed and a Warrant
Issued and placed in the hands of constable
8 Warrant returned and defendant in court
The Complaint Was read to him and he Was informed
of his rights and said H Chabaya Was his true
name the case Was set for trial on the 8th day
of May at ten O'clock of that day and the
Prisoner Was let go on his own recognizance
11 ten O'clock a.m. court Was called defendant
in court and demanded a trial by jury a jury
Was summoned by constable Cyler and sworn
to try the case Mrs Bass Was sworn and examined
on the part of the prosecution the defendant and
his wife Was sworn and examined for the defendant
the jury was then charged by the court the constable
Was then sworn and took charge of the jury
the jury Was out fifteen minutes and returned
a Verdict of not guilty the Verdict Was filed and
the jury and prisoner discharged justice fees

Three dollars

\$ 3.00

+ June 14 Claim sent to Board
J M Johnson justice of the peace

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action ☒

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm J Patterson

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

aug

5- On the affidavit of Thomas Jones a complaint was filed a Warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ogler aug 6th Warrant returned by Constable Ogler and defendant Brought in to court the complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights With the consent of the defendant the trial was set down for aug 10th of that day Subpoenas was issued for witnesses for the Prosecution and the defence the Prosecution asked for a trial by jury a Verdict for jury was issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ogler the Dist Attorney was notified

aug

10

Now comes the Dist Attorney and moves that this case be dismissed motion granted

J M Johnson
Justice of the Peace
Justice fees three dollars \$3.00

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand & Charge Grand Larceny

a Felony W H Larceny

Attorney for Plaintiff

W. John William Patterson

Defendant

E L McCays

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

aug

10

On the affidavit of Thomas Jones a complaint was
 filed and Warrant issued and placed in the hands
 of Constable Cyler Warrant returned and defendant
 brought in to court the complaint read to defendant
 defendant asked his true name and states his true
 name to be William John Patterson defendant
 informed of all his rights it is ordered by the court
 that the said defendant William John Patterson
 be committed to the custody of S F Cyler Constable
 of Fourth Township County of Madera State of
 California E L McCays appear as attorney for deft
 by consent of the dist attorney and the defendant
 and his counsel the case is here by set for examination
 at the hour of eleven o'clock on the 10th day of aug
 1897 Joseph T Conley sworn as a Reporter defendant
 and his counsel present in court the dist attorney
 said he was ready for trial the defendants attorney
 said he was ready for trial

The following witnesses were sworn and examined
 by the prosecution and cross examined by the defendant
 Thomas Jones Walter Dick Silverter Hayes James
 D Ragon Sturtis Nichols A Wright S F Cyler Wines
 for the defendant W J Patterson Albert Phelps

The case was argued by the dist attorney for the prosecution
 and E L McCays for the defence

Ordered by the court that the defendant William John
 Patterson be held to answer upon a charge of grand
 larceny in feloniously steal and taking from the
 person of Thomas Jones a ten dollar note of paper
 currency lawful money of the united states the
 property of said Thomas Jones committed in said
 Township County and State on the fifth day of aug
 1897 It is further ordered that the defendant be committed

continued to next page

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California.

.....	}	Action..... ✓
.....	
vs. Plaintiff		Demand \$.....
.....	
.....	}	Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

To Bail in the sum of one thousand dollars
Done in open court this 10th day of Aug 1847
J M Johnson
Justice of the Peace
Justice fees three dollars \$ 3.00

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Civil

George Seaford

Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Westfall

Robert Laramore

Defendant

Demand \$ 127 ²⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug. 12 Complaint filed
Aug 13 1897 Summons issued and placed in the hands
of J. H. Green Constable ^{3rd} township
Aug 15 1897 Summons returned by Constable, served on
S. W. Westfall one of the defendants, Summons filed
in Court this 15th day of August 1897
aug 21 Now comes the defendant S. W. Westfall and
paid the above demand and costs of suit and
now at

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Civil

John H. Dunlap

Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. Laramore

Robert Laramore

Defendant

Demand \$ 184.¹⁵/₁₀₀ with interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept.

29

Complaint filed

Sierra Star

Laramore & Westfall

In the Justice's Court of

Fourth

Township,

County of

Madera

State of California.

Action

Civil

George Seaford

Plaintiff

vs.

S. W. Westfall

Robert Laramore

Defendant

Demand \$ 127 ²⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE

1896

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug.

17

Complaint filed

Aug

13

1897 Summons issued and placed in the hands of J. H. Green Constable ^{3rd} township

Aug

15

1897 Summons returned by Constable, served on S. W. Westfall one of the defendants, Summons filed in Court this 15th day of August 1897

Aug

21

Now comes the defendant S. W. Westfall and paid the above demand and costs of suit and now at

No. 55

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Civil

John H. Dunlap

Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. Laramore

Robert Laramore

Defendant

Demand \$ 184.15 with interest

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept.

29

Complaint filed

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

John Keffer

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 30 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀

William Moles

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 14 Complaint filed

20 Summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ogler

Nov 3 Summons returned by F W Mello Serda on the defendant Wm Moles in the third Township County of Madera California which gave the defendant ten days to answer

Nov 15 The time having expired for the defendant to answer and where as he has not answered default is here by entered and now at this time judgement is here by made Rendered Order and decreed in favor of the plaintiff John Keffer and against the defendant William Moles for the sum of Thirty \$ 30 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars principal and costs of suit costs taxed at Linch's \$ 12 ⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars and 70 cents justice costs \$ 4 00

Constables costs Eight dollars and Ninety cts \$ 8 90

Total amount of judgement forty two dollars + 90 cts 42 90

J M Johnson Justice of the Peace of Fourth Township County of Madera State of California

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action

Demand \$

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Deutschmann
Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

OCT 23 ^{a complaint was filed} on the affidavit of Sally an Indian Woman a Warrant was issued and placed in the hands of Constable Oyler

25 Warrant returned and defendant brought into court the complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights and pled not guilty the trial was set for the 27th day of October at 10 o'clock of that day Subpoena was issued for John Deutschmann and placed in the hands of Constable Oyler

25 Court called complying witnesses and defendant in court H F Blanchard appeared as attorney for the prosecution and moved to continue the case till John Deutschmann could be got as a witness motion granted and the case set for trial on Nov 6th at 10 o'clock of that day

November 6 10 o'clock court called parties in court a jury was sworn and examined sworn to try the case Sally an Indian Woman and John Deutschmann was sworn and examined as witnesses on the part of the prosecution and Frank Deutschmann for the defendant the jury was then charged by the court and retired to deliberate on the case they were out but a short time when they returned a verdict of not guilty the jury was discharged by the court and the defendant was ordered discharged justice fees \$ 3.00

J M Johnson
justice of the peace of Fourth township

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Action

George Taylor

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 299.23W. L. Smith & J. P. Beazore

Defendant,

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct

30

Complaint filed summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Oyler affidavit on attachment filed and one hundred dollars deposited in court by the plaintiff as security on attachment attachment issued and placed in the hands of Constable Oyler

Nov

8

Summons returned served on the defendant Beazore

9

Now comes the defendant and paid the above demand and the Plaintiff moves that the case be dismissed and now at this time it is ordered by the court that the above case be and the same is here by dismissed

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace

Fourth Township County of Madera Cal

No. 59

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>Frank W. Mello</u> vs. <u>The Caldonia Mining Co.</u>		Action Demand \$ <u>113.⁰⁰/₁₀₀</u>
Plaintiff		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1897 PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 6 Complaint filed and Summons issued affidavit and undertak-
ing on attachment filed writ of attachment issued and
With the Summons placed in the hands of Constable Cyle
14 Summons returned Served on Earnest Ketchmer the
Superintendent of the defendants mine and filed
15 The time having expired for the defendants to answer to the
7th day after service of said Summons and where as
the defendants have not answered or demurred as required
By law it is now ordered that default be and and default
is hereby entered and now at this time it is ordered in
Pursuents of said default that judgement be and the
same is hereby rendered order and decreed in favor of the
Plaintiff and against the defendants for the full sum
of One hundred and thirteen \$ 113.⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars and costs
of Suit costs taxed thirteen \$ 13.⁸⁰/₁₀₀ dollars & eighty cents
and it is further ordered that plaintiff shall have and
Recover the full amount of judgement out of any
Property of the defendants not exempt from execution
Done in open court this 15th day of Dec 1897 justice cost \$ 5.⁰⁰/₁₀₀
Constables cost Eight dollars and eighty \$ 8.⁸⁰/₁₀₀
J. M. Johnson justice of the peace
Fourth Township County of Madera State of California
March 21 It appearing to the Court from the record herein that the
summons herein was not served upon any officer of the
corporation as required by law, but that the same was served
upon a stranger to this action and that the judgement herein
was entered through inadvertence and mistake and if
further appearing to the Court that the original summons
herein has been lost from the file of the Court and cannot
after due diligence be found
It is hereby ordered that the judgement herein made and
entered Dec. 6th 1897 be stricken from the docket of this Court
and that an Alias summons issue directed to said defendant and
that the execution herein issued be recalled

J. M. Johnson
J. P.

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

F. A. Cross

Plaintiff

vs.

The Caldonia Mining Co

Defendant

Action

Demand \$110 ⁵⁰/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec

10

Complaint filed affidavit and undertaking on attachment.
 Filed Summons and Writ of attachment issued and
 Placed in the hands of Constable S. F. Oyler

15

6 Summons and Writ of attachment served on the
 Superintendant of the Caldonia Mining Company
 The defendant's said Superintendant being Earnest
 Ketchner on the 11th day of Dec 1897

18

Whereas the time has expired for the defendant to appear
 and answer plaintiff's complaint filed here in and
 Whereas defendant's have not answered nor demurred
 to plaintiff's complaint it is ordered by the Court that default
 be and default is here by entered and now at this time
 judgement is here by rendered Order and decreed in
 favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants for
 the full sum of one hundred and ten ⁵⁰/₁₀₀ dollars
 Principle Sum and Costs of Suit Taxed at Thirteen
⁸⁰/₁₀₀ dollars and eighty cents Total amount of judgment
 one hundred and twenty three dollars and eighty cents \$123 ⁸⁰/₁₀₀
 and it is further ordered that plaintiff shall have and
 Receive the full amount of the above judgement and cost
 out of any property of the defendants not exempt from
 Execution done in open Court this 18th day of Dec 1897

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace of
 Fourth Township County of Madera California
 Execution issued Jan 10th 1898

No. 61

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

A. Wight and Mary E. Wight

Plaintiff

vs.

E. L. McCalister

Defendant

Action

Demand \$19 ¹²/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

Jan 28 Complaint Filed Summons issued
Feb 14 Summons returned served by constable Laramore
21 now comes the defendant and paid the full demand
together with costs and now at this time it is
ordered by the court that this case be and the
same is hereby dismissed

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace Fourth Township
County of Madera Cal

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Joseph Baty

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

- Feb 17 On the affidavit of R E Hamke a Complaint was filed a Warrant was issued and placed in the hands of Constable W E Laramore
- Warrant returned and defendant in court the Complaint was read to him and he pled not guilty and the Examined was set for three O'clock on the 19 of Feb 1898
- 19 Court was called W E Pennell was appointed and sworn as reporter for this case R E Hamke Sarah Mc Kergie and Robert Mc Kergie was sworn and examined as witnesses for the prosecution at the close of the prosecution J O Baty F F Noyes Bird Taylor J D Rogon Henry Noyes and W E Laramore was sworn and testified for the defence the Court summed up the evidence and found the defendant guilty and required the defendant to give a Bond to keep the peace for six months the Bond was to be for the sum of two hundred Dollars the Bond was given by J R Nichols & W E Pennell as Sureties and the Defendant was ordered discharged

J M Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township Madera Co Cal,

No. 63

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action	
Demand \$	
Henry Hoyer	Plaintiff
vs.	
The Gambell Mining Co	Defendant
Attorney for Plaintiff	
Attorney for Defendant	

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

March 14 Comptant Filed Summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Taramore to be served on defendants
17 Summons returned by Constable Taramore served on Walter Mills Superintendent of the said company

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Action

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Pedro Aguirre + Victor Aguirre

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

March 24 On the affidavit of Thomas Bearre a complaint was filed and a warrant of arrest was issued and sent to Constable Green of Madera the defendants was taken before Judge McDonald and was admitted to bail and the examination was set for April 1st at 10 o'clock of that day court called by P. H. K. acting Constable the Dist Attorney W. H. Laramie moved that the complaint against Pedro Aguirre + Victor Aguirre be dismissed and a new complaint be filed charging them jointly motion granted a new complaint was filed and the defendants was in court the complaint was read to them and they were informed of their rights they said they were ready for trial the Prosecution Joseph Conley was sworn as reporter and first witness Mr. Shelling Thomas Bearre & M. Gaudio the People next their case witnesses for the defendants Pedro Aguirre Victor Aguirre Ferrando Moreno, F. Romandaro, F. H. King this closed the evidence the case was argued by the Dist Attorney W. H. Laramie for the People and by Mr. Fowler for the defendants at the close of the argument the court took a recess for a half hour court called and now at this time I Justice of the Peace having heard the evidence and all the law in the premises being by me under Stud. I Order and decree that the defendant be turned loose and I so order that they be acquitted and the Constable discharge them Justice fees Six dollars \$ 6.00

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace
In and for the Fourth Township County of Madera Cal
Bill Sent to Supervisor

No. 65

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action

The People of the State of California
Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Victor Aguirre
Defendant

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

March 24 On the affidavit of Thomas Bearre a Complaint was filed and a Warrant of arrest issued and sent to Constable Green in Madera the defendant was arrested and taken before Judge Arch Mc Donald and was by him admitted to bail and the Examination of the case was set for April first at 10 o'clock of that day

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin

Township,

County of Madison

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Knox

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

April 11 On the affidavit of ah Lisa Complaint was filed and a
Warrant was issued and placed in the hands of W.B. Taylor
Deputy Sheriff

20 On motion of the Dist attorney the above case is held by
Order of the Court

J M Johnson

Justice of the Peace Fees \$ 3.00

No. 7

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

		Action
F. W. Mello		
vs.	Plaintiff	Demand \$ 150 ⁰⁰ / ₁₀₀
The Caldonia Mining Co.		Attorney for Plaintiff
	Defendant	Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1897

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec 6 Complaint filed alias Summons issued March 13th 1898
 13 and sent to San Francisco and placed in the hands of O.
 April 20 Van Trott to be served on the defendants
 alias Summons returned served on E. E. Turlin the Secretary
 of the Caldonia Mining Co served by O. Van Trott the
 service having been made on the 15th day of April 1898

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madaya

State of California.

Action

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Frank W. Mello

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

April 20 Now comes the defendant and without service of Warrant Pleads guilty to the crime of Battery the defendant was examined under oath as to the degree of the crime and it appearing to the Court from the evidence that no Bodily injury had been committed the defendant agreed guilty and for the said crime was fined the sum of five dollars the fine was paid by the defendant and he was discharged justice fees three dollars \$ 3.00 two dollars of said fine sent to Wm. Arner County Treasurer

J. W. Johnson Justice of the Peace

No. 67

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

W H Brooks

vs.

Plaintiff

Mmanuel Machado

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

May

9 Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of Lea Dresser to be served on the defendant
 11 Summons returned by Lea Dresser served on the defendant
 17 Affidavit of service by Lea Dresser and Summons filed the time for the defendant to appear and answer or demur having expired and where as the defendant has not appeared and answer or demurred as required by law now at this time default is hereby entered and now the court proceeds to take testimony in regard to the extent of damage done W H Brooks and Lea Dresser were sworn and examined as witnesses on the part of the plaintiff and after hearing the evidence and the law and the evidence being under study by the court now at this time judgement is hereby rendered by judge order and decreed in favor of the plaintiff and against defendant for the full sum of two hundred and fifty \$ 250.⁰⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars and costs of suit costs taxed at the sum of Ten dollars and it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff shall have and receive ^{our} the sum of any property of the defendant not exempt from execution done in open court this 17th day of May 1898

J M Johnson Justice of the Peace
 of Fourth Township County of Madera California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Imperial

State of California.

Action

G. A. Dresser

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Mame Machado

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

May

7 Complaint Filed Summons issued and placed in the hands of W. H. Brooks to be by him served on the defendant

11 Summons returned by W. H. Brooks served on the defendant

11 Summons and affidavit of service filed in court

17 the time having expired for the defendant to appear and answer or demur and where as the said defendant has not appeared default is hereby entered and now at this time the court proceeded to take testimony as to the amount of damage done to plaintiff by defendant G. A. Dresser was sworn and examined as a witness and the evidence showed that Plaintiff had been damaged in the sum of twenty dollars and now at this time it is ordered that the plaintiff have judgement and judgement is hereby rendered order and decreed in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of twenty dollars and costs of suit justice costs taxed at five dollars & 50 cents court costs \$ 5.00 Total amount of judgement thirty dollars & 50 cents

Done in open court

J. M. Johnson justice of the peace

No. 71

In the Justice's Court of San Joaquin Township,
County of Maricopa State of California.

Thomas Beasly

Plaintiff

vs.

Jessie Rogers

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

May 14 Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in
the hands of Constable Oyler
21 Now comes the defendant and paid twenty five dollars
30 and on motion of the plaintiff the above case is hereby dismissed
J M Johnson
Justice of the Peace

In the Justice's Court of *Forest*

Township,

County of *Madera*

State of California.

Mrs. A. Leavagnio

Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs. R. McKenil

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ *41.20*

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

*June**9**Complaint Filed*

No. 73

In the Justice's Court of San Mateo Township,
County of San Mateo State of California.

A. S. Myers
Plaintiff
vs.
Frank Kates
Defendant

Action
Demand \$ 20.00
Attorney for Plaintiff
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

June 9 Complaint filed Summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragan

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Frank W. Mello

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Caledonia and Ward Gold Mining
Company, a Corporation

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

June

27

Stipulation

It is hereby stipulated by and between the parties hereto that the plaintiff may take judgment in the above entitled action for the sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars and with costs in addition thereto and that a stay of execution for ninety days from the date hereof said judgment be and is hereby granted San Francisco June 27th 1898

July

1

1898 now at this time it is considered ordered agreed and decreed by the court that in accordance with the above stipulation now on file that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendants for the sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars principal and costs of suit and interest to be added thereto to costs taxed at two dollars and the above plaintiff Frank W. Mello shall have and receive of and from the defendants the Caledonia and Ward Gold Mining Company the full sum of the above judgment out of any property of said defendants not exempt from execution

J. W. Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township Madera Co Cal

No. 75-

In the Justice's Court of South Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action Civil

Frank H. Mellow

Plaintiff

vs.

Calidonia & Ward Gold
Mining Company a Corporation

Defendant

Demand \$113.00

W. F. Aram

Attorney for Plaintiff

Wm. H. Hart-

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

July 8

It appearing to the Court that the defendant corporation herein was duly served with the alias summons herein at the City and County of San Francisco on the 15-day of April 1898 and it further appearing that the said defendant corporation has duly appeared in the action by its attorney Wm. H. Hart-Ey and by said appearance has failed to demur to plaintiff's complaint or to deny any of the allegations thereof. Therefore, upon motion of Plaintiff's Attorney that Judgment be entered upon the Pleadings.

It is hereby ordered and adjudged that Plaintiff do have and receive Judgment against said Defendant Corporation for the sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars (\$113) and costs amounting as taxed by the Court to the sum of Eight dollars and Eighty cents \$8.80.

June 1898

Upon stipulation of parties Plaintiff and Defendant herein it is ordered that Execution upon the foregoing Judgment be Stayed for 90 days from the date thereof.

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace of the South
Township County of Madera State of California

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

W. A. Church vs. M. & Jolley & D. L. Albertson

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 2 On the affidavit of E. L. Mc Caper a Complaint was filed and a Warrant of arrest issued and placed in the hands of Constable Rigelton

6 Warrant returned and defendants in court by Constable Rigelton the Complaint was read to them they pled not guilty and said their true names was Walter A. Church M. & Jolley and D. L. Albertson the defendant demanded a trial by jury a Verdict was issued and placed in the hands of Constable Rigelton Verdict returned jury was called and examined and sworn to by the Court E. L. Mc Caper was sworn as a witness for the Prosecution W. A. Church M. & Jolley and D. L. Albertson was sworn as witnesses for the defendants at the close of the evidence the jury was charged by the Court and the jury took charge of the case. the jury retired and after being out a short time they returned in to Court with a Verdict of Not guilty the jury was discharged and the defendants released from Court day done in open Court justice fees \$3.00

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace Fourth Township
County of Madera Cal

No. 77

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action CivilJonathan Lewis

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 15.00Mary Bishop

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Oct 7. Complaint filed, summons issued, placed in the hands of Constable Ragans.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of *Madera*

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action

Battery

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

H. G. Clinton

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

*Nov**10**Complaint filed and Warrant issued and placed in the hands of Constable Bagon**18**Warrant returned by Constable Bagon and the defendant brought in to Court he was informed of his rights and of the charge against him and he pled guilty to the charge of Battery with a knife. Whereupon the Court did order judge and clerk that for the said offense the defendant pay a fine of Six dollars the fine of Six dollars was paid by the said defendant and he was discharged by Order of the Court**justice per three dollars**\$ 3 00**Constables per three dollars**3 00**J. M. Johnson justice of the peace**The*

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$ 71.83

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

STATE

D&C

12

4

Complaint Filed Summons issued and sent to

5

John T. Serls the plaintiffs attorney

6

WHEREAS, on January 25th, 1899, Frank Deutschmann, as plain-

7

tiff, recovered a judgment against John McKune, as Defendant, in

8

an action pending in the Justice's Court of the 4th Township

9

County of Madera, State of California, for the sum of seventy-one

10

dollars and eighty-three cents and cost of suit, and said judgment

11

is still in force and effect, and the same is now a lien upon the

12

property of the defendant.

13

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the sum of seventy-one

14

dollars and eighty-three cents, to me in hand paid, by Return

15

Roberts of the County of Madera, State of California, I hereby

16

sell, assign, transfer and set over to said Return Roberts all my

17

right, title and interest in and to said judgment and lien, and

18

in and to the money due me from said defendant upon said judgment.

19

Dated March 20th, 1899.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Frank Deutschmann

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of *Madura*

State of California.

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

Defendant

vs.

No. 1

DATE.

Novr

10

18

*Assignment of
Judgment
to the Court
to Return
Roberts*

*Filed Nov 22 1899
David Hoelker
Justice*

WHEREAS, on January 25th, 1899, Frank Deuschmann, as plain-
tiff, recovered a judgment against John McKee, as defendant, in
an action pending in the Justice's Court of the 4th Township,
County of Madura, State of California, for the sum of seventy-one
dollars and eighty-three cents and cost of suit, and said judgment
is still in force and effect, and the same is now a lien upon the
property of the defendant.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the sum of seventy-one
dollars and eighty-three cents, to me in hand paid, by Return
Roberts of the County of Madura, State of California, I hereby
sell, assign, transfer and set over to said Return Roberts all my
right, title and interest in and to said judgment and lien, and
in and to the money due me from said defendant upon said judgment.

Dated March 20, 1899.

Frank Deuschmann

Plaintiff

Defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Frank Deutschman

Plaintiff

vs.

John McKune

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 71 ⁸³/₁₀₀

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1898

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec

12

Complaint Filed Summons issued and Sent to
Wm T Serls the plaintiffs attorney

23

Summons in the above entitled case served on the defendant
on the 20th and returned and filed in court on the 23d

Jan

25

1899 Default having been made in the above entitled
case judgment is hereby rendered the plaintiff
for Seventy One Dollars and Eighty Three cents (\$71.83)
and costs of suit amounting to 14.00
Fertiers fees \$4.00 Constables 3.50 and
\$6.00

Mar

22

Assignment of judgment by Frank Deutschman to
Return Roberts received and placed on file.
Abstract sent to Wm T Serls

David Lockman

No.

In the Justice's Court of Forest Township,
County of Madera State of California.

J Bamberger & Co

Action

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

W B. Taylor

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1903

PROCEEDINGS.

April 13 Complaint filed

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California.

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

Plaintiff

Defendant

vs.

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

Boot Taylor

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Mrs M Edman

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

Frank F Noyes

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1903

PROCEEDINGS.

Nov 2 Complaint filed and Summons issued
and placed in the hands of J D Ragan deputy constable
18 Summons returned by constable Ragan served on the defendant
Frank F Noyes DEC 7th the time having expired for the deft
DEC 7 to appear and answer or demurred default is
hereby entered and now at this time it is ordered by
the court that the plaintiff have judgment and now
it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have
judgment against the defendant for the full sum of
Sixty dollar and Ninety Seven cents Principal and
Twenty ^{more} interest total amount of judgment in favor
of plaintiff and against defendant as hereby ordered and
adjudged and decreed Eighty four dollar and costs of suit
taxed at three dollar and it is further ordered that
the plaintiff shall have the full amount of the above
judgment out of any property of defendant not
exempt from execution to satisfy the cost of suit
J M Johnson
justice of the peace of fourth township Madera Co Cal

No.

In the Justice's Court of Farmers

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Spaulding Mfg Co

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$

W W Thomas

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1903

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec

7

Complaint filed and Summons issued and sent to
Robt L Hargrove attorney for Plaintiff

Feb

18

The summons in the above case returned with the sheriff's return on it showing that the said Summons was served on the defendant on the 13th day of Dec 1903 and where as the time has expired for the defendant to appear and answer and where as defendant has not appeared and answered nor demurred default is hereby entered and now at this time judgement is hereby rendered ordered adjudged and decreed in favor of the plaintiff the Spaulding Mfg Co and against the defendant W. W. Thomas in the following sum to wit principal sum \$ 75.⁰⁰ Seventy five dollars & 11⁹⁰/₁₀₀ Eleven dollars interest and \$ 5.⁰⁰ Five dollar costs of suit and \$ 25.⁰⁰ Twenty five dollars attorneys fees making a total judgement of \$ 116.⁹⁰ of one hundred and sixteen dollars and ninety cents and it is ordered that the plaintiff shall have and receive the full amount of one hundred and sixteen dollars and ⁹⁰/₁₀₀ out of any property of the defendant not exempt from execution done in open court this 18th day of February 1904

J M Johnson

July

18

justice of the peace of Farmers Township Madera Co Cal
1904 comes now the plaintiff by his attorney R L Hargrove and orders the above case dismissed and now at this time it is hereby ordered by the court that the above case be and the same is hereby dismissed

J M Johnson justice of the peace

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Ga Krohn

Action

Plaintiff

vs.

Demand \$ 139.44
100Alonso Wright

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Dec

2d

Complaint filed and Summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Leonard

14

Summons returned by Constable Ragan served on the defendant on the 14th day of Dec 1904

20

The time having expired for the defendant in the above entitled to appear and answer the said complaint and where as said defendant has not appear and answer nor demurred default is here by entered and now at this time judgment is hereby rendered ordered and decreed in favor of the Plaintiff Ga Krohn and against the defendant Alonso Wright for the full sum of one hundred and thirty nine \$139.44 Dollars 44c together with costs of suit costs taxed at \$4.00 total amount of judgment one hundred and forty three \$143.44 done in open court

J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township County of Madera Cal

No. 89

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

<u>Charley Lee</u>	}	Action
vs.		Demand \$ <u>45.⁰⁰/₁₀₀</u>
<u>Jessie Bailey</u>		
Plaintiff		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1904

PROCEEDINGS.

March 4 Complaint filed and summons issued and placed
in the hands of constable Ragan to be served on defendant
9 Summons returned by constable Ragan served on defendant
14 The time allowed for the defendant to appear and answer
the complaint on file having expired and where as the said
defendant has not answered or answered default is
hereby entered and now at this time judgement is
hereby rendered ordered and decreed in favor of the plaintiff
Charley Lee and against the defendant Jessie Bailey
for the sum of forty five \$ 45.⁰⁰/₁₀₀ dollars principal sum
and costs of suit costs taxed at four \$ 4.⁵⁰/₁₀₀ dollars + 50c
Total amount of judgement forty nine \$ 49.⁵⁰/₁₀₀ dollars 50c
Done in open court this 14th day of March 1904
J M Johnson Justice of the Peace of Fourth
Township County of Madera State of California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Madera

State of California.

Pacific Hardware & Steel Co.
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Action ^{int} 23. 50

Demand \$ 131. 74. "

H. Hilfield

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904.

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19 Complaint filed summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon. Summons returned by Constable Ragon, served on defendant, on the 20th of August

" 26 the time having expired for the defendant to appear and answer or demur and whereas defendant has not appeared the default is hereby entered, and now at this time judgment is hereby rendered ordered and decreed by the court, in favor of plaintiff for the against defendant, for the sum of one hundred and thirty one dollars and seventy four cents, principal sum (\$131.74) and interest twenty three dollars and a half (\$23.50) and costs of suit taxed at \$6.25, total amount of judgment in favor of plaintiff and against defendant, one hundred and sixty one dollars and forty nine cents, (\$161.49)

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace Fourth Township County
of Madera State of California

No. 57

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Pacific Hardware & Steel Co
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank W. Mello

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 69 int \$ 12 1/2

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19

Complaint filed summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon. Summons returned by Constable Ragon, served on defendant. On the 20th of Aug the time having expired for the defendant to appear and answer or demur and whereas defendant has not appeared, the default is hereby entered, and now at this time judgment is hereby rendered ordered, adjudged and decreed in favor of plaintiff ^{against defendant.} for the sum of sixty nine dollars, principal sum, and twelve ^{dollars} and a half interest, together with cost of suit, cost taxes at the sum of \$82.50 - justice costs - 3.00, Constables cost \$3.25, total amount of judgment in favor of plaintiff a ~~plaintiff~~ ^{plaintiff} - defendant \$87.85, Eighty seven dollars and eighty five cents.

J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace of Fourth Township County
of Madera State of California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Pacific Hardware & the Co
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Larve
Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 184.42

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19 Complaint filed and summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon. Summons returned by Constable Ragon, served on defendant. the 20th of August.

25 Now comes the defendant R. E. Larve and filed his answer to Plaintiff's complaint and denies that he is indebted to plaintiff in the amount claimed.

Sept 15 Comes now W. H. Larve, attorney for plaintiff, and R. E. Larve defendant and by stipulation and agreement in writing, it is stipulated and agreed by and between the parties that the plaintiff shall have judgment for the sum of \$167.20, principal sum, and for the sum of \$23.40 interest, and now at this time it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have judgment for the sum of \$190.60 interest and for costs of suit, total amount of judgment \$194.60, and costs of suit justice costs three dollars \$3.00. Constables costs \$3.25. Three dollars and twenty five cents.

This judgment is transferred to the next page.
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace of Fourth Township County of Madera Cal

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera, State of California.

Pacific Hardware and Steel Co.

IN THE JUSTICE'S COURT OF FOURTH TOWNSHIP,
COUNTY OF MADERA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Pacific Hardware and Steel Co.
(a corporation)

184, 42

Plaintiff,

vs.

R.E. Laws,

Stipulation.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant.

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

It is hereby stipulated by and between W.H. Larew,

DATE

Attorney for plaintiff and R.E. Laws, defendant that

judgment may be entered in favor of the plaintiff for the
sum of \$167.20 principal for the sum of \$23.40 interest
and for costs of suit to be ascertained and entered by the
Court.

Aug

W.H. Larew

Attorney for plaintiff.

R.E. Laws

Defendant.

Sept

comes now W.H. Larew attorney for
plaintiff, and R.E. Laws defendant
and by stipulation and agreement
in writing, it is stipulated and agreed
by and between the parties that the
plaintiff shall have judgment for
the sum of \$167.20, principal sum, and
for the sum of \$23.40 interest, and
born at this, for purposes of said
agreement, it is now ordered and judged
and decreed by the court that the
plaintiff shall have judgment against
the defendant for the sum of \$167.20
principal sum, and for the sum
of \$23.40 interest, and costs of suit, taxed

At \$6.25, justice costs \$3.00. Courtables
costs \$3.25, total amount of judgment
\$196.85, done in open court

W Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township County of Madera California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Pacific Hardware & Steel Co
a corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

R. E. Larve

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 184.42

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19. Complaint filed and summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon. Summons returned by Constable Ragon, served on defendant, the 20th of August.

25. Now comes the defendant R. E. Larve and files his answer to Plaintiff's complaint and denies that he is indebted to plaintiff in the amount claimed.

Sept 15. Comes now W. H. Larve, attorney for plaintiff, and R. E. Larve defendant and by stipulation and agreement in writing, it is stipulated and agreed by and between the parties that the plaintiff shall have judgment for the sum of \$167.20, principal sum, and for the sum of \$23.40 interest, and now at this time it is hereby ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff have judgment for the sum of \$190.60 interest and for costs of suit, total amount of judgment \$194.60, and costs of suit justice costs three dollars \$3.00. Constables costs \$3.25. Three dollars and twenty five cents.

This judgment is transferred to the next page.
J. M. Johnson Justice of the Peace of Fourth Township County of Madera Cal

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

Pacific Hardware & Steel
Co.
a corporation
Plaintiff
vs.

Action

Demand \$ 184.42R. E. Saxe

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Aug 19 Complaint filed and summons issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon. Summons returned by Constable Ragon served on Defendant. the 22nd of August.

25 Now comes the defendant R. E. Saxe and filed his answer to Plaintiff's complaint, and denies that he is indebted to plaintiff in the amount claimed.

Sept 15 Comes now W. H. Saxe attorney for plaintiff, and R. E. Saxe defendant and by stipulation and agreement in writing, it is stipulated and agreed by and between the parties that the Plaintiff shall have judgment for the sum of \$167.20, principal sum, and for the sum of \$23.40 interest, and now at this, in pursuance of said agreement, it is now ordered and adjudged and decreed by the court that the Plaintiff shall have judgment against the Defendant for the sum of \$167.20 principal sum, and for the sum of \$23.40 interest, and costs of suit, taxed at \$6.25, justice costs \$3.00. Constables costs \$3.25, total amount of judgment \$196.85, done in open court.

W. Johnson Justice of the Peace
Fourth Township County of Madera California

In the Justice's Court of Fourth

Township,

County of Modera

State of California.

J. W. Linnelle and
J. H. Cox

Plaintiffs

vs.

Mabel Vanderford

Defendant

Action

Demand \$ 279.50

R. R. Fowler

Attorney for Plaintiff

R. L. Hargrove

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1905

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 18 Complaint filed Summons issued and placed
in the hands of Constable Ragan. Affidavit and
undertaken and on attachment filed. Writ of attachment
issued and placed in the hands of Constable Ragan.
21 Summons returned by Constable Ragan and filed.
24 Comes now the defendant by her attorney R. L.
Hargrove and files her answer and cross complaint.
Sept 30 Comes now the defendant asks for a change
of venue and files the affidavit as required
by law.

It is ordered by the Court that the above
entitled case be and the same is hereby
changed to Judge D. Lockton's Court
at Raymond.

No.

In the Justice's Court of Township,
County of State of California.

.....	}	Action
.....	
vs. Plaintiff		Demand \$
.....	
.....		Attorney for Plaintiff
Defendant		Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

BLANK PAGES
SKIPPED

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madera State of California.

People of the State of Cal

Action

Criminal

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

John Enos

Defendant

R. R. Fowler
Attorney for Plaintiff

James Burns
Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1905

PROCEEDINGS.

- May 13 On the affidavit of J. H. Ragon a complaint was filed and warrant issued for the arrest of the above named defendant, and placed in the hands of Constable Ragon
- " 14 Warrant returned by Constable Leonard prisoner brought into court, complaint was read to him and he was informed of his rights, he said his true name is as John Enos, and the examination was set for May 15, 1905.
- " 15 At two o'clock P. M. court was called, defendant in court, represented by his Attorney James Burns, R. R. Fowler District Attorney appeared for the people. Four witnesses were examined for the prosecution, at the close of the evidence for the prosecution the District Attorney R. R. Fowler after explaining the law to the court moved to dismiss the action. Judge Burns then made a speech in favor of his client, and now J. M. Johnson understanding the law and the evidence hereby ordered the case be dismissed, and the defendant discharged.
- Justice fees \$3.00
J. M. Johnson
Justice of the Peace Fourth Township, Madera Cal

No. 141

In the Justice's Court of Towith Township,
County of _____ State of California.

The People of the State of Cal

Action

murder

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

H B Blume a H Blume and
John Durck

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

19 DATE.

1905

PROCEEDINGS.

June 3 On the affidavit of G J Edgards a warrant was issued
and placed in the hands of constable Ragan
5 Warrant returned by constable Ragan and defendant
in court the complaint was read to them and
and they were informed of their rights and they
pleaded not guilty to the charge and the case was set for
trial on the 17th day of June at two o'clock that day
17 Two o'clock P M court called defendants in court
there being no witnesses present for the prosecution
the court waited until four o'clock and when
no witnesses appeared for the prosecution and constable
Ragan stated that G J Edgards could not be
found and there being no objections it is ordered
by the court that the charge against the
defendants be and the same is hereby dismissed.
J M Johnson
Justice of the peace Towith Township County
of Madera State of California
Bill Justice fees \$ 900
Sent to the County

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madra State of California.

The People of the State of California

Action Criminal

Plaintiff

Demand \$

vs.

Frank F. Noyes

Defendant

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Sept 1

On the affidavit of Thomas H. Leonard a complaint was filed and the defendant brought in to court without warrant the complaint was read to him said his true name was Frank Noyes he was informed of his rights and pled not guilty to the charge and the case was set for trial on the tenth of Sept 1904 at ten o'clock

No. 143

In the Justice's Court of Fourth Township,
County of Madra State of California.

The People of the State of California

Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Keltie

Defendant

Action

Demand \$

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

1904

PROCEEDINGS.

Sep 1 On the affidavit of J No Leonard a Complaint was filed and the defendant brought in to court without a Warrant the complaint was read to him and he pled not guilty and said his true name was Frank Keltie

No.

29. 1. 9.

In the Justice's Court of

Township,

County of..

State of California.

Action.

Plaintiff

Demand \$.....

vs.

Attorney for Plaintiff

Defendant

Attorney for Defendant

DATE.

PROCEEDINGS.

9,

ntiff

dant

